MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

Molecular Ecology (2016) **25**, 4113–4125 doi: 10.1111/mec.13755

Loss of genetic diversity and increased embryonic mortality in non-native lizard populations

SOZOS N. MICHAELIDES,* GEOFFREY M. WHILE,*† NATALIA ZAJAC,* FABIEN AUBRET,‡ BRITTNY CALSBEEK,§ ROBERTO SACCHI,¶ MARCO A. L. ZUFFI** and TOBIAS ULLER*††

**Edward Grey Institute, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Rd, OX1 3PS, Oxford, UK, †School of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, PO Box 55, Hobart, Tas. 7001, Australia, ‡Station d'Ecologie Expérimentale du CNRS à Moulis, 09200 Moulis, France, §Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA, ¶Dipartimento Sci Terra & Ambiente, Lab Ecoetol, Università di Pavia, I-27100 Pavia, Italy, **Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, Via Roma, 79, 56011 Calci, Pisa, Italy, ††Department of Biology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 37, SE 223 62 Lund, Sweden*

Abstract

Many populations are small and isolated with limited genetic variation and high risk of mating with close relatives. Inbreeding depression is suspected to contribute to extinction of wild populations, but the historical and demographic factors that contribute to reduced population viability are often difficult to tease apart. Replicated introduction events in non-native species can offer insights into this problem because they allow us to study how genetic variation and inbreeding depression are affected by demographic events (e.g. bottlenecks), genetic admixture and the extent and duration of isolation. Using detailed knowledge about the introduction history of 21 non-native populations of the wall lizard *Podarcis muralis* in England, we show greater loss of genetic diversity (estimated from microsatellite loci) in older populations and in populations from native regions of high diversity. Loss of genetic diversity was accompanied by higher embryonic mortality in non-native populations, suggesting that introduced populations are sufficiently inbred to jeopardize long-term viability. However, there was no statistical correlation between population-level genetic diversity and average embryonic mortality. Similarly, at the individual level, there was no correlation between female heterozygosity and clutch size, infertility or hatching success, or between embryo heterozygosity and mortality. We discuss these results in the context of human-mediated introductions and how the history of introductions can play a fundamental role in influencing individual and population fitness in non-native species.

Keywords: colonization, genetic diversity, hatching failure, inbreeding, lizard

Received 26 November 2015; revision received 26 June 2016; accepted 27 June 2016

Introduction

During the process of colonization, populations may experience dramatic changes in genetic diversity due to founder and bottleneck events (Sakai *et al.* 2001; Dlugosch & Parker 2008). Such reduction in genetic diversity can affect establishment success, population growth and adaptive potential (Nei *et al.* 1975; Lee 2002; Dlugosch *et al.* 2015). For example, a small population size increases the probability of inbreeding, which increases homozygosity and could lead to the expression of deleterious recessive mutations that reduce individual fitness (i.e. inbreeding depression) and population viability (Keller & Waller 2002; Charlesworth & Willis 2009). Establishing predictors of genetic diversity and its relationship to estimates of individual and population viability is therefore fundamental to our understanding of what promotes (or hinders) biological invasions and natural range expansion (Lee 2002; Keller & Taylor 2008; Excoffier *et al.* 2009;

Correspondence: Sozos N. Michaelides and Tobias Uller, Fax: +44 1865310447; E-mails: msozos@gmail.com and tobias.uller@biol.lu.se

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Bock *et al.* 2015; Dlugosch *et al.* 2015), insights that can ultimately assist in conservation management (Frankham *et al.* 2014).

Despite the importance of understanding the links between the demographic and ecological processes that reduce genetic diversity and lead to inbreeding depression, establishing these links empirically has proven surprisingly difficult. This is largely because the historical record is often poor and replication of colonization events limited, making it difficult to test for predictors of loss of genetic variation (Estoup & Guillemaud 2010; Uller & Leimu 2011). Generating good evidence for loss of fitness can also be problematic because inbred individuals may die at an early stage in development, making inbreeding depression cryptic or mistakenly classified as parental infertility (Hemmings *et al.* 2012). Indeed, some of the best examples that inbreeding depression (e.g. increased hatching failure) is associated with the severity of bottlenecks (Briskie & Mackintosh 2004; Heber & Briskie 2010) come from hole nesting passerines where early mortality or infertility can be determined with some accuracy (Bensch *et al.* 1994; Kempenaers *et al.* 1996; Spottiswoode & Moller 2004). Also, selection against inbred juveniles might reduce the evidence of inbreeding depression in adults (Keller & Waller 2002). Nevertheless, estimating inbreeding in natural populations is not trivial and data linking introduction history, loss of genetic diversity and inbreeding depression are therefore scarce in other vertebrates. As a result, the extent to which loss of genetic variation and inbreeding depression negatively impact persistence of wild populations remains debatable (Bouzat 2010).

The common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis*, provides an opportunity to study how introduction history shapes genetic diversity and how well estimates of genetic diversity correlate with signs of inbreeding depression. Native to southern and western Europe, the species has been repeatedly introduced to England, Germany and North America (Allan *et al.* 2006; Burke & Deichsel 2008; Schulte *et al.* 2012; Michaelides *et al.* 2013). In England, more than 30 extant populations were the result of escapees and deliberate release of captive animals and/or their offspring (Lever 1977; Michaelides *et al.* 2013, 2015; T. Uller and G. M. While, unpublished). A comprehensive analysis of the colonization history of 23 non-native populations in England revealed nine independent introduction events from two native geographic regions (France and Italy), with evidence of multiple introductions, secondary introductions (i.e. the source was an already established population in England) and admixture (presence of mtDNA haplotypes of more than one lineage; Michaelides *et al.* 2013, 2015). Using 1546 native and non-native animals, we test whether genetic diversity (measured using microsatellite markers) of non-native populations was shaped by their geographic and genetic origin, and introduction history (primary vs. secondary and single vs. multiple introductions, admixture, year of introduction and propagule size). Furthermore, for 11 native and 13 non-native populations, we also collected data on female fecundity, infertility and embryonic mortality to test if loss of genetic diversity and individual heterozygosity was associated with loss of fitness.

Materials and methods

Sampling and molecular laboratory work

We used 1318 genotypes from Michaelides *et al.* (2015) and sampled 11 additional populations (228 individuals) from native locations in Italy and France (Fig. 1, see also Tables S1 and S2, Supporting information). We extracted genomic DNA from tail tissue preserved in ethanol (70–90%) with DNeasy 96 plate kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions (with overnight lysis) and genotyped all individuals at 16 microsatellite loci (Richard *et al.* 2012; Heathcote *et al.* 2014). The selected microsatellite set included markers that were developed using individuals from the two focal lineages and geographic regions (France and Italy). This ensured reliable and accurate estimation of genetic diversity (Queiros *et al.* 2015). Multiplexed polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out in a total volume of 11 μL reaction mix containing 1 μL of genomic DNA, 5 μL of Qiagen MasterMix, 0.2 μL of each primer (forward and reverse, from 10 mM working stock) and 3.8 μL (for multiplex 1,2,3 and 5) or 3.6 μL (for multiplex 4) of PCR grade dH_2O. PCR conditions were as follows: 15 min of initialization step at 95 °C, 26 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 90 s at 57 °C (for multiplexes 1–3) or 55 °C (for multiplexes 4, 5) and 1 min at 72 °C and a final extension step of 20 min at 60 °C. The 5′-end of each forward primer was labelled with a fluorescent dye either 6-FAM, HEX or NED. PCR products were run with an internal ladder (red ROX-500), on an ABI 3130 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems Inc.). We scored alleles in GENEIOUS 6.1.7 and any ambiguous peaks (peaks with low relative fluorescence unit) were repeated (PCR and genotyping) to confirm genotype.

Microsatellite analyses

We used MICROCHECKER V.2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) to check for null-alleles, large allele dropouts and scoring errors and FSTAT (Goudet 1995, 2001) to calculate deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (at the 0.05 nominal level for multiple tests using sequential

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd



Fig. 1 Distribution of sampling locations in the native and non-native range. (A) All three sampling regions, (B) non-native locations in England, (C) native locations in France and (D) native locations in Italy. Populations in England are coded based on their introduction history (Italian or French genetic origin, primary or secondary introduction and whether there was evidence of admixture; presence of mtDNA haplotypes from two or more lineages). Map modified from Michaelides *et al.* (2015).

Bonferroni corrections). We excluded three loci due to very limited amplification in some populations (i.e. lineage specific loci). Therefore, for all subsequent analyses, we used 13 microsatellite loci. We calculated observed (H_O) and unbiased expected heterozygosity (H_E) using GENALEX v.6.0 (Peakall & Smouse 2012), allelic richness (A_R, corrected for sample size) using FSTAT (Goudet 1995, 2001) and genetic differentiation among populations (F_{ST}) and linearized F_{ST} [$F_{ST}/(1 - F_{ST})$] in ARLEQUIN 3.5.1.3 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010).

Genetic diversity in the native and non-native range

To determine how gene flow (or in the case of non-native populations, their introduction history) and genetic drift have influenced population genetic structure within the native and non-native ranges, we analysed the correlation between geographical distance and genetic differentiation (linearized F_{ST}) using Mantel tests with 9999 permutations using the ADE4 package in R v.3.1.2 (R Development Core Team 2015). We assessed

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

the structure of genetic variation in the two ranges by hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA, Excoffier *et al.* 1992) in ARLEQUIN 3.5.1.3 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010). We used two-way ANOVA to assess the effects of geographic range (native vs. non-native) and genetic origin (Italian vs. French) on genetic diversity (H_E and A_R). To improve normality of data, we arcsine square root transformed H_E and square transformed A_R. We further used Tukey's post hoc tests in R v.3.1.2 (R Development Core Team 2015) to identify significant pairwise comparisons between groups (native Italian, native French, non-native Italian and non-native French).

Predictors of genetic diversity in the non-native range

We used a GLM with Gaussian distribution on transformed data to test if genetic origin (Italian vs. French) and introduction history explained variation in genetic diversity in non-native populations. We included the mode of introduction (primary vs. secondary), number of years since introduction (or first observed) and admixture (presence of mtDNA haplotypes of more than one lineage; yes vs. no) as our variables describing introduction history (Michaelides *et al.* 2015). We also tested for the effects of propagule size (founder size) on genetic diversity of the subset of non-native populations for which this was documented or established with high certainty from interviews with, or written accounts by, those involved in the introductions (Supporting information Table S1; see also Michaelides *et al.* 2013, 2015).

Fecundity, infertility and embryonic mortality

We caught 413 gravid females from 11 native and 13 non-native populations during the field seasons 2010–2014 (Supporting information Table S3). Females were housed in individual cages (590 × 390 × 415 mm) at the facilities in Oxford following our standard protocol (see While *et al.* 2015). We collected the first clutch of the season (from a mating while still in the wild) to generate data on fecundity (C_S, clutch size), infertility (I_N, proportion of infertile eggs) and hatching failure (H_F, the proportion of fertile eggs within a clutch where the embryo died before full term). Infertile eggs can easily be identified on the basis of the lack of egg shell (Olsson & Shine 1997). All other eggs had normal calcified egg shells. Eggs that failed to hatch or that did not show heart beat (using a heart rate monitor; Buddy, Avitronics, UK) were dissected to confirm the presence of a dead embryo. We did not attempt to score the exact developmental stage, but mortality typically happened before or soon after oviposition (based on the embryonic staging table in Dufaure & Hubert 1961).

We assessed the effects of geographic range (native vs. non-native) and genetic origin (Italian vs. French) on fecundity using a linear mixed model with range, origin and their interaction as a fixed effect, and population as a random effect. Infertility and hatching failure were analysed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with the same predictors, adding female identity as a random effect, and a binomial error distribution with logit link function. The statistical analysis was carried out using the NLME and LME4 packages (Bates *et al.* 2014; Pinheiro *et al.* 2015) in R v.3.1.2 (R Development Core Team 2015), and significant pairwise comparison between groups (native Italian, native French, non-native Italian, non-native French) was assessed using Tukey post hoc tests. In addition, for non-native populations, we used a GLM with Gaussian distribution on transformed data (arcsine square root) to test whether population average infertility and hatching failure in populations can be explained by their introduction history. We included genetic origin (Italian vs. French), the mode of introduction (primary vs. secondary), number of years since introduction (or first observed) and admixture (presence of haplotypes of more than one lineage; yes vs. no).

Heterozygosity–fitness correlations

Because loss of genetic diversity is associated with inbreeding which in turn reduces reproductive fitness, a correlation is expected between heterozygosity and fitness-related traits (Reed & Frankham 2003). We assessed the relationship between expected heterozygosity and average clutch size (C_S), infertility (I_N) and hatching failure (H_F) among non-native populations. Populations with fewer than 10 females with complete data on C_S, I_N and H_F were excluded from this analysis to minimize biased estimates of averages.

At the individual level, heterozygosity–fitness correlations (HFCs) are statistical associations between individual multilocus heterozygosity and fitness traits. HFCs are expected to arise when there is within population variation in inbreeding, heterozygosity and nongenetic component of trait variance (Szulkin *et al.* 2010). Because spurious HFCs can arise when individuals are sampled from different localities or geographic origins (e.g. HFCs can be an artefact of between population variation, Slate *et al.* 2004), and as some non-native populations have shown to share demographic history and genetic composition (Michaelides *et al.* 2015), we used STRUCTURE (Pritchard *et al.* 2000) to assign individuals (females) into demes (K), representing clusters of populations that share close genetic relationships (e.g.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

because one was established through introduction of individuals from another; Michaelides *et al.* 2015). We ran simulations with a burn-in of 10^5 iterations and a run length of 10^6 iterations from $K = 1$ to $K = 11$ (for native females) or $K = 13$ (for non-native females). Runs for each K were replicated five times, and the best K was determined according to the method described by Evanno *et al.* (2005) in the online software STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt 2011). Multiple runs were combined in CLUMPP (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007), and each female was assigned into a deme when the proportion of membership (q) for a deme was ≥0.9. Structure results identified high posterior probability at $K = 2$ for native females (DemeNativeItalian and DemeNativeFrench) and $K = 4$ for non-native females [four demes with females belonging to populations of either Italian-only or French-only populations; DemeIntroITA-A (BS, DL, PO, WS), DemeIntroITA-B (WW, SH), DemeIntroITA-C (VT, VB, SW) and DemeIntroFRA (BU, CW, EP, WE). There was one deme that included females of mixed ancestry ($0.1 < q < 0.9$); DemeIntroMix (BS, DL, SH, SW, VB, WE, WS); see Table S3 (Supporting information) for list of populations and their abbreviations]. Therefore, for subsequent analyses, we partitioned our data accordingly to determine whether the presence and/or magnitude of HFC varied among the different partitions (demes).

We estimated individual multilocus heterozygosity by calculating the uncorrected homozygosity index (HO, proportion of homozygous loci) and the corrected homozygosity by locus index (HL, weights the contribution of each locus to the homozygosity index depending on allelic variability) in CERNICALIN (Aparicio *et al.* 2006). We performed these calculations separately in each deme (DemeNativeItalian, DemeNativeFrench, DemeIntroItalianA-C, DemeIntroFrench and DemeIntroMix). As both indices were highly correlated, we only report results for HL (see Results).

Identity disequilibrium [ID, a correlation in heterozygosity and/or homozygosity across loci (Weir & Cockerham 1973)] is considered a fundamental cause of HFC (Szulkin *et al.* 2010). We therefore estimated ID and its significance using the parameter g_2 (David *et al.* 2007). HFC emerges from variance in individual inbreeding and should only exist if $g_2 > 0$ (Szulkin *et al.* 2010); therefore, we assessed the significance of departure from zero based on 1000 permutations in RMES (David *et al.* 2007) for each deme.

We analysed the effects of female heterozygosity (F_{HL}) on clutch size (C_S) and hatching failure (H_F) within each deme, and for each fitness trait separately (we did not perform the corresponding analysis on infertility due to the comparably low incidence of infertile eggs). We used Poisson generalized linear models on C_S and binomial GLMMs on H_F including F_{HL} as fixed effect and female ID as a random effect (to control for overdispersion; Bolker *et al.* 2009). We converted the results of each HFC analysis to r, the equivalent of the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient, which is a common measurement of effect size (Nakagawa & Cuthill 2007). We used the z-values from each model to calculate r which was subsequently transformed into Zr (Fisher's transformation) as described in Coltman & Slate (2003). As we used HL (homozygosity by locus) for the HFC estimates, we reverse the sign of the effect to match results from published meta-analyses (e.g. Chapman *et al.* 2009). We then used univariate analyses and calculated the average effect size across fitness traits (all effect sizes treated as independent data) and the average effect sizes for each fitness trait separately.

Finally, because non-native populations of Italian origin were found to have lost genetic diversity and have increased hatching failure (see Results), we used a subset of females from non-native populations of Italian ancestry to test whether high offspring homozygosity was associated with embryonic mortality. For this analysis, we used 31 females and clutches that had at least one embryo that hatched and one that died early. Embryos (dead and alive) were genotyped at 13 microsatellite loci, and the homozygosity indices were also calculated in CERNICALIN (Aparicio *et al.* 2006). We then fitted a GLMM with offspring heterozygosity (O_{HL}), femaleID as a random effect and a binomial error distribution with logit link function. P-values were obtained by LRTs of the full model with O_{HL} against the model without O_{HL}. The statistical analyses were carried out in R v.3.1.2 (R Development Core Team 2015) using the LME4 package (Bates *et al.* 2014).

Results

In the native range, there was a clear spatial genetic structure with the Italian region showing higher levels of genetic diversity (H_E and A_R) compared to the French (post hoc Tukey test $P < 0.05$, Fig. 3). Across the whole data set most of the variation was found within populations with only 10–15% of variation between ranges and origins (Table 1). Significant isolation-by-distance patterns were observed within both the native and non-native populations (Mantel tests, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2).

Genetic diversity (expressed as H_E and A_R) was substantially lower in the non-native populations of Italian origin compared to their native range, whereas non-native populations of French origin only showed a weak loss of diversity compared to their native range (post hoc Tukey tests between French native and French non-native being statistically significant only for A_R;

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Table 1 Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) in the native and non-native range

Range	Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Percentage of variation
Native range	Among groups (Italy–France)	1	568.14	10.6
	Among populations within groups	40	971.45	7.65
	Within populations	1940	8705.85	81.75
	Total	1981	10245.44	
Non-native range	Among groups (Italy–France)	1	332.87	14.55
	Among populations within groups	18	805.03	15.82
	Within populations	926	3533.18	69.63
	Total	945	4671.09	



Fig. 2 Correlation between genetic (Linearized F_{ST}) and geographic distance (log-transformed). There was evidence of isolation by distance in both the non-native and native range as assessed by Mantel tests (after 9999 permutations). Note different scales on the axes for the two plots.

Table 2 and Fig. 3A, B). The number of years since introduction was the only statistically significant predictor of genetic diversity for H_E (this was not significant for A_R; Table 3), with older populations having lower genetic diversity. In the subset of populations for which we had data on propagule size, we found a significantly positive correlation between the number of founders and genetic diversity for H_E ($R = 0.85$, $P = 0.01$, Fig. 4) with borderline statistical significance for A_R ($R = 0.74$, $P = 0.058$, Fig. 4).

Females from non-native populations had significantly larger clutches than females from native populations ($F_{1,411} = 6.17$, $P = 0.02$, Fig. 3D). Infertility was low overall and the incidence of infertility did not differ significantly between ranges and origins (range: $Z_{1,409} = -1.07$, $P = 0.29$; origin: $Z_{1,409} = -0.57$, $P = 0.57$). In contrast, hatching failure was affected by the interaction between range and origin ($Z = -3.88$, $P < 0.001$), with significantly higher hatching failure in non-native populations of Italian origin than in their native counterparts (post hoc Tukey test $P < 0.05$, Table 4, Fig. 3C). Within the non-native range, none of the predictors

Table 2 GLM results for predictors of genetic diversity (expected heterozygosity, H_E and allelic richness, A_R)

Source of variation	d.f.	F	P
H_E ~ range × origin			
Range (native–non-native)	1.61	77.32	<0.001
Origin (Italy–France)	1.61	27.04	<0.001
Range: origin	1.61	11.44	<0.001
A_R ~ range × origin			
Range (native–non-native)	1.61	177.95	<0.001
Origin (Italy–France)	1.61	71.90	<0.001
Range: origin	1.61	24.53	<0.001

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd



Fig. 3 Genetic diversity and fitness-related traits [hatching failure, fecundity (clutch size)] in native and non-native populations of French and Italian ancestry. (A) Expected heterozygosity; (B) Allelic richness; (C) Hatching failure; (D) Fecundity. Different letters above the plots indicate significantly different pairwise comparisons assessed by Tukey post hoc tests (groups sharing the same letter have nonsignificant differences).

(region of origin, admixture, mode of introduction and years since introduction) significantly affected population average hatching failure or fertility (Supporting information Table S4).

Population average expected heterozygosity (H_E) in non-native populations was not significantly correlated with clutch size or hatching failure, but populations with higher heterozygosity had significantly lower incidence of infertility (Fig. S1, Supporting information). At the individual level, HFCs are expected to arise from variance in inbreeding, measured with the g_2 statistic, within the various partitions identified by Structure (at $K = 2$; DemeNativeItalian, DemeNativeFrench and at $K = 4$; DemeIntroItalianA-C, DemeIntroFrench and DemeIntroMix). We found positive values for all demes except one (DemeIntroFrench) but statistically significant values only for the DemeIntroItalian-B ($g_2 = 0.067$, $P = 0.04$, see also Supporting information Table S5). Generalized linear mixed models of HFCs indicated no significant association between female heterozygosity (F_{HL}) and fitness traits (H_F, C_S) in any of the data partitions (Supporting information Table S6). The overall average effect size on all demes combined was low ($\check{Z}r = 0.039$), and the 95% confidence interval included

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Table 3 GLM results for the predictors of genetic diversity [expected heterozygosity (H_E) and allelic richness (A_R)] in the non-native range

Variable	d.f.	F	P
H_E ~ origin + mode of introduction + admixture + years			
Origin (Italy–France)	1.19	0.13	0.72
Mode of introduction (primary–secondary)	1.19	1.29	0.27
Admixture (yes–no)	1.19	0.01	0.92
Years	1.19	5.75	**0.03**
A_R ~ origin + mode of introduction + admixture + years			
Origin (Italy–France)	1.19	0.21	0.64
Mode of introduction (primary–secondary)	1.19	0.43	0.52
Admixture (yes–no)	1.19	0.03	0.85
Years	1.19	3.18	0.09

Statistically significant P-values are in bold.

zero (Supporting information Table S6). Finally, within clutches, embryos that died before hatching where no more homozygous than their successfully hatched siblings ($\chi^2 = 0.01$, $P = 0.91$; Supporting information Table S7).

Discussion

Marginal populations, such as non-native populations, are often founded by a small number of animals, have restricted gene flow and, as a consequence, may have low genetic diversity and suffer from inbreeding depression. Our analyses of non-native wall lizard populations in England showed loss of genetic diversity and an increase in embryonic mortality compared to native populations. Despite this, we failed to establish individual-level correlations between heterozygosity and various measures of fitness.

During and following the colonization of a new area, populations are expected to lose genetic variation and display increased differentiation among populations due to founder effects, bottlenecks and genetic drift (Nei *et al.* 1975; Dlugosch & Parker 2008). As predicted, we found a consistent loss of genetic diversity in non-native compared to native populations. Interestingly, non-native populations from the native region with higher genetic diversity have lost proportionally more genetic variation. This could imply that bottlenecks may have been more severe for non-native Italian populations, but it may also reflect a sampling effect or perhaps an extinction threshold that eliminates populations with lower diversity, making the diversity in extant non-native populations of French and Italian origin similar in magnitude. The lineages diverged from each other approximately 2–3 MYA (Gassert *et al.* 2013; Michaelides *et al.* 2013) and the higher genetic diversity in Italy compared to France likely reflects historical processes that periodically separated populations in refugia. In particular, there appears to have been multiple refugia within Italy, leading to contemporary zones of secondary contact following range expansion in the region of Italy from which the UK populations



Fig. 4 Correlation between number of founders and genetic diversity.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Table 4 Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) results assessing the effects of range and genetic origin on hatching failure

Variable	Parameter estimate (SE)	*P*	Random effects	Variance	SD
Range (native–non-native)	1.3187 (0.7825)	0.09	Population	0	0
Origin (Italian–French)	2.2596 (0.4866)	**>0.001**	FemaleID	9.827	3.135
Origin: range	−4.0069 (0.9536)	**>0.001**			

Statistically significant *P*-values are in bold.

originated (Giovannotti *et al.* 2010; Gassert *et al.* 2013; Salvi *et al.* 2013). Consequently, our study emphasizes how the phylogeographic structure in the native range may shape patterns of genetic diversity in the non-native range (Taylor & Keller 2007).

Propagule size is the most consistent predictor of genetic diversity in introduced populations (Dlugosch & Parker 2008; Simberloff 2009; Uller & Leimu 2011; Blackburn *et al.* 2015). This was confirmed in our study where, despite that information regarding the number of founders was only available for seven populations, diversity increased significantly with the number of animals released. Older populations also harboured less genetic variation than more recently established populations. This may reflect a prolonged period of isolation and absence of gene flow. It is also possible that natural selection contributes to loss of diversity given the evidence that populations established several decades ago (approximately ten to forty generations) have adapted to the colder climate in the UK (While *et al.* 2015). In contrast, there was no evidence for further reduction in diversity in secondary introductions. A loss of genetic variation is expected to be a characteristic of sequential founder events (Clegg *et al.* 2002), but our results are not unique for lizards. Successive colonization of *Hemidactylus mabouia* in Florida (US), via human-mediated dispersal, did not result in further loss of genetic diversity (Short & Petren 2011). Secondary introductions from admixed populations may explain this pattern (e.g. Tonione *et al.* 2011) as genetic admixture is common in biological invasions and can increase genetic diversity (Kolbe *et al.* 2004, 2007; Genton *et al.* 2005; Facon *et al.* 2008) sometimes creating novel combinations of alleles in the new range (Ellstrand & Schierenbeck 2000). However, in our study, there was no evidence that multiple introductions and admixture, occurring from genetically (and phenotypically) differentiated lineages in the native range, had higher overall nuclear genetic diversity. We can conclude that non-native wall lizard populations are less genetically diverse on average, but that populations have retained variation through secondary introductions and not gained much variation through admixture, at least with respect to neutral markers.

Small population size should result in mating between close relatives, which may cause inbreeding depression (Keller & Waller 2002). Hatching failure is a common outcome of inbreeding depression in captive birds and reptiles (Bensch *et al.* 1994) and has been directly attributed to loss of genetic variation in wild birds (Briskie & Mackintosh 2004; Heber & Briskie 2010; Hemmings *et al.* 2012). In our study, non-native populations of Italian origin showed high hatching failure, reaching over 30% in some populations, compared to both their native counterparts (mean ~7%) and non-native populations of French origin (10%). Because eggs were incubated at constant temperatures in the laboratory and hence environmental conditions were standardized across clutches, these effects are likely to be due to expression of deleterious recessives. A high hatching failure in non-native populations of Italian origin is consistent with the greater reduction in genetic diversity relative to the native range compared to French populations. This may suggest that populations of Italian origin have experienced stronger bottlenecks events (although the low sample size for French populations suggests the difference between lineages needs to be treated with caution). Indeed, the severity of the bottleneck has been shown to significantly influence the degree of hatching failure in birds (Briskie & Mackintosh 2004; Heber & Briskie 2010). It is worth noting that the high levels of early mortality are consistent between sampling years and hence likely to reflect a significant genetic load in non-native populations.

An approach to quantify the effects of genetic erosion on fitness is to estimate correlations between molecular variation and fitness (or fitness-related) traits among and within populations (Szulkin *et al.* 2010). Heterozygosity–fitness correlations at the population level reveal 'ambient inbreeding' shared by all members of the population which is due to fixation of deleterious alleles (fixation load). In a meta-analysis, Reed & Frankham (2003) showed that 19% of the variation in fitness among populations was a result of significant correlations between molecular variation and population fitness. In our study, only one of the non-native demes of shared ancestry showed statistically significant identity disequilibrium (ID, the correlation in heterozygosity

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

and/or homozygosity across loci; Weir & Cockerham 1973; Szulkin *et al.* 2010). It is therefore perhaps not surprising that, despite a reasonable sample size relative to other published studies (Chapman *et al.* 2009), we did not find a statistically significant correlation between population genetic diversity and average clutch size or hatching failure among non-native populations. The average effect sizes across demes also suggested that the true effect size is close to zero. Also within clutches, we failed to detect any differences in heterozygosity between embryos that died early in development and their successfully hatched siblings. However, populations with low genetic diversity had increased incidence of infertility, although the absolute levels of infertility were still low (less than 8% of eggs) compared to the high incidence of embryonic mortality.

It is unclear why the effect was stronger for infertility than for embryo mortality, but it could reflect that inbreeding depression primarily affects sperm production or sperm viability in males. Indeed, inbreeding depression is often manifested in low sperm viability in captivity (Asa *et al.* 2007), and has been demonstrated in wild populations of rabbits (Gage *et al.* 2006). Recent evidence for male effects on offspring through epigenetic modifications of sperm (e.g. Lambrot *et al.* 2013; Radford *et al.* 2014) also raises the possibility that inbred males may produce sperm with compromised genomic or epigenomic stability, which may contribute to early mortality. In addition, mating only with close relatives could result in infertility if fertilization success is lower for genetically similar males, as has been demonstrated in sand lizards (Olsson *et al.* 1996). Further studies of sperm production, sperm viability and postcopulatory discrimination of males in native and non-native populations are needed to test these hypotheses.

How can we reconcile the consistent loss of genetic diversity and increased hatching failure in non-native populations with the lack of a bivariate relationship between individual-level heterozygosity and hatching failure? Although there are many known examples of individual multilocus heterozygosity and fitness correlations (reviewed in Chapman *et al.* 2009) effects are relatively weak and effect sizes generally small. If effects are strongest in males, we may not be able to detect HFC by focusing on females even if there is substantial evidence for inbreeding depression, as suggested by the high incidence of embryonic mortality in some non-native populations. It is also possible that some populations with low heterozygosity have undergone purging of deleterious mutations (e.g. Pujol *et al.* 2009; Facon *et al.* 2011). This would imply that not all populations or individuals with low heterozygosity should show high incidence of inbreeding depression. However, the efficiency of purging depends on many genetic and demographic factors (Keller & Waller 2002) and the time necessary to lessen inbreeding depression could be highly variable (Chapman *et al.* 2009). Finally, our study was restricted to 13 microsatellite markers. Significant HFCs have been reported with fewer markers (e.g. Chapman *et al.* 2009; Brommer *et al.* 2015; Velando *et al.* 2015), but neutral markers used might not be sufficient to capture HFCs adequately (Balloux *et al.* 2004; Miller & Coltman 2014), especially as g_2 values suggested a moderate level of inbreeding at most. Thus, our failure to detect ID and/or HFC's should not be taken as evidence that inbreeding depression is absent (Kardos *et al.* 2014). Using a large number of markers such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (e.g. Miller *et al.* 2014; Huisman *et al.* 2016) and/or analysis of functional genes such as genes of the Major-Histocompatibility Complex (e.g. Agudo *et al.* 2012) may be more appropriate when estimated genomewide heterozygosity and the effect on fitness. The large number of independent introductions of wall lizards to England would provide a good study system to explore how consistent these measures of genetic variation correlate with introduction history and loss of fitness due to inbreeding.

In conclusion, the levels of genetic diversity in non-native populations of *P. muralis* reflect their origin and phylogeographic structuring in the native range, with greater loss of diversity in non-native populations from native regions with high genetic variation. Older populations and populations founded by a low number of individuals had lower genetic diversity. Embryonic mortality was high in non-native populations of Italian origin. Although this is consistent with the greater loss of genetic diversity for Italian origin populations, we found no evidence that heterozygosity across microsatellite markers is significantly correlated with inbreeding depression at the population or individual levels.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Steve Langham, Charles Snell, Martin Noble, Fred and Pat Howarth, Shona McDonough, the Lever family, Tony Pashley, Mark Anderson, Ian Boyd, Nick Squirrel, Tim Bernhard, Tanya French and Anthony Mitchell for outstanding help with locating UK populations and providing access to private gardens and lands. Lindall Kidd and Hannah MacGregor assisted with field work and Mary Magorrian with laboratory work. We also thank three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions that improved our manuscript. We are grateful to the Royal Society of London, the British Ecological Society and the National Geographic Society for project funding (all to TU). SNM was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) scholarship and an A.G Leventis Foundation Grant.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

GMW was supported by an FP7 Marie Curie postdoctoral fellowship. TU was supported by the Royal Society of London and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundations. The research was approved by the UK Home Office Ethical License PPL30/56. All work and procedures during fieldwork were carried out under annual licences and permits from Natural England (20091978; 20102163; 20112817), Direction Régionale de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement (No 2010/DDEA/SEPR/175, No 2010-11, No 11/2012, No 2010-DDEA-SE-105, No 29/2012, No 11/DDTM/657-SERN-NB, No SE-2010-24), Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio del Mare—DG Protezione della Natura e del Mare (prot. PNM-2012-2738, prot. 0011511/PNM, prot. PNM-2012-3878, ISRA prot. 14392, 2764/PNM,) and Societas Herpetologica Italica (prot. ISPRA 9139 T/-A31).

References

Agudo R, Carrete M, Alcaide M *et al.* (2012) Genetic diversity at neutral and adaptive loci determines individual fitness in a long-lived territorial bird. *Proceedings Biological Sciences*, **279**, 3241–3249.

Allan GM, Prelypchan CJ, Gregory PT (2006) Population profile of an introduced species, the common wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*), on Vancouver Island, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **84**, 51–57.

Aparicio JM, Ortego J, Cordero PJ (2006) What should we weigh to estimate heterozygosity, alleles or loci? *Molecular Ecology*, **15**, 4659–4665.

Asa C, Miller P, Agnew M *et al.* (2007) Relationship of inbreeding with sperm quality and reproductive success in Mexican gray wolves. *Animal Conservation*, **10**, 326–331.

Balloux F, Amos W, Coulson T (2004) Does heterozygosity estimate inbreeding in real populations? *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 3021–3031.

Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) Fitting Linear Mixed-effects models using lme4. *ArXiv e-prints*.

Bensch S, Hasselquist D, von Torbjorn S (1994) Genetic similarity between parents predicts hatching failure: nonincestuous inbreeding in the great reed warbler? *Evolution*, **48**, 317–326.

Blackburn TM, Lockwood JL, Cassey P (2015) The influence of numbers on invasion success. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 1942–1953.

Bock DG, Caseys C, Cousens RD *et al.* (2015) What we still don't know about invasion genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 2277–2297.

Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ *et al.* (2009) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **24**, 127–135.

Bouzat JL (2010) Conservation genetics of population bottlenecks: the role of chance, selection, and history. *Conservation Genetics*, **11**, 463–478.

Briskie JV, Mackintosh M (2004) Hatching failure increases with severity of population bottlenecks in birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **101**, 558–561.

Brommer JE, Kekkonen J, Wikstrom M (2015) Using heterozygosity-fitness correlations to study inbreeding depression in an isolated population of white-tailed deer founded by few individuals. *Ecology and Evolution*, **5**, 357–367.

Burke R, Deichsel G (2008) Lacertid lizard introductions into North America: history and future. In: *Urban Herpetology* (eds Mitchell JC, Brown REJ, Bartholomew B), pp. 347–353. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Chapman JR, Nakagawa S, Coltman DW, Slate J, Sheldon BC (2009) A quantitative review of heterozygosity-fitness correlations in animal populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 2746–2765.

Charlesworth D, Willis JH (2009) The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **10**, 783–796.

Clegg SM, Degnan SM, Kikkawa J *et al.* (2002) Genetic consequences of sequential founder events by an island-colonizing bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **99**, 8127–8132.

Coltman D, Slate J (2003) Microsatellite measures of inbreeding: a meta-analysis. *Evolution*, **57**, 971–983.

David P, Pujol B, Viard F, Castella V, Goudet J (2007) Reliable selfing rate estimates from imperfect population genetic data. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 2474–2487.

Dlugosch KM, Parker IM (2008) Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation, adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 431–449.

Dlugosch KM, Anderson SR, Braasch J, Cang FA, Gillette HD (2015) The devil is in the details: genetic variation in introduced populations and its contributions to invasion. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 2095–2111.

Dufaure J, Hubert J (1961) Table de développement du lézard vivipare: *Lacerta (Monotoca) vivipara Jacquin*. *Archives d'anatomie microscopique et de morphologie experimentale*, **50**, 309–328.

Earl DA, vonHoldt BM (2011) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, **4**, 359–361.

Ellstrand NC, Schierenbeck KA (2000) Hybridization as a stimulus for the evolution of invasiveness in plants? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **97**, 7043–7050.

Estoup A, Guillemaud T (2010) Reconstructing routes of invasion using genetic data: why, how and so what? *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 4113–4130.

Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2611–2620.

Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **10**, 564–567.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, **131**, 479–491.

Excoffier L, Foll M, Petit RJ (2009) Genetic consequences of range expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **40**, 481–501.

Facon B, Pointier J-P, Jarne P, Sarda V, David P (2008) High genetic variance in life-history strategies within invasive populations by way of multiple introductions. *Current Biology*, **18**, 363–367.

Facon B, Hufbauer RA, Tayeh A *et al.* (2011) Inbreeding depression is purged in the invasive insect *Harmonia axyridis*. *Current Biology*, **21**, 424–427.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Frankham R, Bradshaw CJA, Brook BW (2014) Genetics in conservation management: revised recommendations for the 50/500 rules, Red List criteria and population viability analyses. *Biological Conservation*, **170**, 56–63.

Gage MJ, Surridge AK, Tomkins JL *et al.* (2006) Reduced heterozygosity depresses sperm quality in wild rabbits, *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. *Current Biology*, **16**, 612–617.

Gassert F, Schulte U, Husemann M *et al.* (2013) From southern refugia to the northern range margin: genetic population structure of the common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis*. *Journal of Biogeography*, **40**, 1475–1489.

Genton BJ, Shykoff JA, Giraud T (2005) High genetic diversity in French invasive populations of common ragweed, *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*, as a result of multiple sources of introduction. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 4275–4285.

Giovannotti M, Nisi-Cerioni P, Caputo V (2010) Mitochondrial DNA sequence analysis reveals multiple Pleistocene glacial refugia for *Podarcis muralis* (Laurenti, 1768) in the Italian Peninsula. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, **77**, 277–288.

Goudet J (1995) FSTAT (Version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *Heredity*, **86**, 485–486.

Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Available from http://www.unil.ch/izea/softwares/fstat.html.

Heathcote RJP, Dawson DA, Uller T (2014) Characterisation of nine European wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*) microsatellite loci of utility across sub-species. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, **7**, 85–87.

Heber S, Briskie JV (2010) Population bottlenecks and increased hatching failure in endangered birds. *Conservation Biology*, **24**, 1674–1678.

Hemmings NL, Slate J, Birkhead TR (2012) Inbreeding causes early death in a passerine bird. *Nature Communications*, **3**, 863.

Huisman J, Kruuk LE, Ellis PA, Clutton-Brock T, Pemberton JM (2016) Inbreeding depression across the lifespan in a wild mammal population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 3585–3590.

Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics*, **23**, 1801–1806.

Kardos M, Allendorf FW, Luikart G (2014) Evaluating the role of inbreeding depression in heterozygosity-fitness correlations: how useful are tests for identity disequilibrium? *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **14**, 519–530.

Keller SR, Taylor DR (2008) History, chance and adaptation during biological invasion: separating stochastic phenotypic evolution from response to selection. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 852–866.

Keller LF, Waller DM (2002) Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **17**, 230–241.

Kempenaers B, Adriaensen F, Noordwijk AJV, Dhondt AA (1996) Genetic similarity, inbreeding and hatching failure in blue tits: are unhatched eggs infertile? *Proceedings Biological Sciences*, **263**, 179–185.

Kolbe JJ, Glor RE, Rodríguez Schettino L *et al.* (2004) Genetic variation increases during biological invasion by a Cuban lizard. *Nature*, **431**, 177–181.

Kolbe JJ, Glor RE, Schettino LR *et al.* (2007) Multiple sources, admixture, and genetic variation in introduced Anolis lizard populations. *Conservation Biology*, **21**, 1612–1625.

Lambrot R, Xu C, Saint-Phar S *et al.* (2013) Low paternal dietary folate alters the mouse sperm epigenome and is associated with negative pregnancy outcomes. *Nature Communications*, **4**, 2889.

Lee CE (2002) Evolutionary genetics of invasive species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **17**, 386–391.

Lever C (1977) *The Naturalized Animals of the British Isles*. Hutchinson, London.

Michaelides S, While G, Bell C, Uller T (2013) Human introductions create opportunities for intra-specific hybridization in an alien lizard. *Biological Invasions*, **15**, 1101–1112.

Michaelides SN, While GM, Zajac N, Uller T (2015) Widespread primary, but geographically restricted secondary, human introductions of wall lizards, *Podarcis muralis*. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 2702–2714.

Miller JM, Coltman DW (2014) Assessment of identity disequilibrium and its relation to empirical heterozygosity fitness correlations: a meta-analysis. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 1899–1909.

Miller JM, Malenfant RM, David P *et al.* (2014) Estimating genome-wide heterozygosity: effects of demographic history and marker type. *Heredity (Edinb)*, **112**, 240–247.

Nakagawa S, Cuthill IC (2007) Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **82**, 591–605.

Nei M, Maruyama T, Chakraborty R (1975) The bottleneck effect and genetic variability in populations. *Evolution*, **29**, 1.

Olsson M, Shine R (1997) The seasonal timing of oviposition in sand lizards (*Lacerta agilis*): why early clutches are better. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **10**, 369–381.

Olsson M, Shine R, Madsen T, Gullberg A, Tegelström H (1996) Sperm selection by females. *Nature*, **383**, 585–585.

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research – an update. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 2537–2539.

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, Team RC (2015) *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models*. Available from http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme. R package version 3.1-122.

Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**, 945–959.

Pujol B, Zhou SR, Sanchez Vilas J, Pannell JR (2009) Reduced inbreeding depression after species range expansion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 15379–15383.

Queiros J, Godinho R, Lopes S *et al.* (2015) Effect of microsatellite selection on individual and population genetic inferences: an empirical study using cross-specific and species-specific amplifications. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **15**, 747–760.

R Development Core Team (2015) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.

Radford EJ, Ito M, Shi H *et al.* (2014) In utero effects. In utero undernourishment perturbs the adult sperm methylome and intergenerational metabolism. *Science*, **345**, 1255903.

Reed DH, Frankham R (2003) Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. *Conservation Biology*, **17**, 230–237.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Richard M, Stevens VM, Hénanff ML, Coulon A (2012) Fourteen new polymorphic microsatellite loci for the wall lizard *Podarcis muralis* (Sauria: Lacertidae). *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **12**, 374–376.
Sakai AK, Allendorf FW, Holt JS *et al.* (2001) The population biology of invasive species. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **32**, 305–332.
Salvi D, Harris DJ, Kaliontzopoulou A, Ma C, Pinho C (2013) Persistence across Pleistocene ice ages in Mediterranean and extra-Mediterranean refugia: phylogeographic insights from the common wall lizard. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **13**, 147.
Schulte U, Hochkirch A, Loetters S *et al.* (2012) Cryptic niche conservatism among evolutionary lineages of an invasive lizard. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **21**, 198–211.
Short KH, Petren K (2011) Multimodal dispersal during the range expansion of the tropical house gecko *Hemidactylus mabouia*. *Ecology and Evolution*, **1**, 181–190.
Simberloff D (2009) The role of propagule pressure in biological invasions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **40**, 81–102.
Slate J, David P, Dodds KG *et al.* (2004) Understanding the relationship between the inbreeding coefficient and multilocus heterozygosity: theoretical expectations and empirical data. *Heredity (Edinb)*, **93**, 255–265.
Spottiswoode C, Moller AP (2004) Genetic similarity and hatching success in birds. *Proceedings Biological Sciences*, **271**, 267–272.
Szulkin M, Bierne N, David P (2010) Heterozygosity-fitness correlations: a time for reappraisal. *Evolution*, **64**, 1202–1217.
Taylor DR, Keller SR (2007) Historical range expansion determines the phylogenetic diversity introduced during contemporary species invasion. *Evolution*, **61**, 334–345.
Tonione MA, Reeder N, Moritz CC (2011) High genetic diversity despite the potential for stepping-stone colonizations in an invasive species of gecko on Moorea, French Polynesia. *PLoS One*, **6**, e26874.
Uller T, Leimu R (2011) Founder events predict changes in genetic diversity during human-mediated range expansions. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 3478–3485.
Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) Micro-Checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **4**, 535–538.
Velando A, Barros A, Moran P (2015) Heterozygosity-fitness correlations in a declining seabird population. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 1007–1018.
Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1973) Mixed self and random mating at two loci. *Genetics Research*, **21**, 247–262.
While GM, Williamson J, Prescott G *et al.* (2015) Adaptive responses to cool climate promotes persistence of a non-native lizard. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **282**, 20142638.

S.N.M., G.M.W. and T.U. conceived of the project, collected data and wrote the manuscript. S.N.M. generated and analysed the genetic data with help of N.Z., and M.A.L.Z., R.S., B.C. and F.A. collected samples from native populations.

Data accessibility

Sampling locations and genetic diversity data: Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting information). Population average fitness trait data: Table S3 (Supporting information). Genotypes of individuals used in the genetics analyses are deposited in Dryad (doi: 10.5061/dryad.048kf).

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Table S1 Sampled populations in the non-native range of *Podarcis muralis* in England.

Table S2 Sampled locations in the native range of *Podarcis muralis.*

Table S3 Populations used in the hatching failure analyses.

Table S4 GLM results for the predictors of hatching failure (H_F) and infertility (I_N) in the non-native range.

Table S5 Values of g_2 indicating variance in inbreeding within demes.

Table S6 GLM and GLMM results assessing the effects of individual heterozygosity (HL) on hatching failure (HF) and fecundity (CS).

Table S7 GLMM results assessing the effects of individual heterozygosity (O_{HL}) on embryo mortality.

Fig. S1 Correlation between expected heterozygosity and population average fitness trait in non-native populations.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd